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ABSTRACT:

This case note explores the prosecution of Saddam Hussein, former leader of Iraq, and argues that although the Iraqi High Tribunal was criticized for not providing Hussein with a procedurally fair and non-biased trial, the IHT fared well in light of the complex blend of Middle Eastern law and international procedural due process norms that were applied to the process, the unstable political climate in Iraq at the time, and the lack of a viable alternative venue.
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